

Knowledge and Reality
Contextualism

Primary Readings

- (!) **Lewis, D.**, ‘**Elusive Knowledge**’, *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 74 (1996), pp. 549-567. Reprinted in DeRose and Warfield.
- (!) **DeRose, K.**, ‘**Solving the Skeptical Problem**’, *Philosophical Review* 104 (1995), pp. 1-52). Reprinted in DeRose and Warfield.
- (!) **Hawthorne, J.**, *Knowledge and Lotteries*, Clarendon Press (2004), ch. 2.
- **Willaimson, T.**, ‘**Knowledge, Context, and the Agent’s point of View**’, in Preyer and Peter (eds.).
- **Stanley, J.**, *Knowledge and Practical Interests*, OUP (2005), esp. chapter 5 and 6.
- **Bach, K.**, ‘**The Emperor’s New ‘knows’’**, in Preyer and Peter (eds.).
- **Ludlow, P.**, ‘**Contextualism and the New Linguistic Turn in Epistemology**’, in Preyer and Peter (eds.).
- **Blome-Tillman, M.**, ‘**The Indexicality of Knowledge**’, *Philosophical Studies* (forthcoming)

Useful Collections:

- Preyer, G., and Peter, G., (eds.), *Contextualism in Philosophy*, OUP, Oxford(2005), pp. 91–114.
- DeRose, K., and Warfield, T. (eds.), *Scepticism: a Contemporary Reader*, OUP (1999).

Questions:

What is Contextualism in Epistemology? How does it differ from Hawthorne or Stanley’s ‘subject sensitive’ view on knowledge? Does contextualism provide an adequate solution to the sceptical puzzles? If not, are there other reasons to endorse the view?